Intuitive Surgical Inc.

2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions
This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

Contents

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:
☑ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:
☑ USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:
☑ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Intuitive Surgical, Inc. (Nasdaq: ISRG), headquartered in Sunnyvale, California, is a global leader in minimally invasive care and the pioneer of robotic surgery. Our technologies include the da Vinci surgical system and the Ion endoluminal system. By uniting advanced systems, progressive learning, and value-enhancing services, we help physicians and their teams optimize care delivery to support the best outcomes possible. At Intuitive, we envision a future of care that is less invasive and profoundly better, where diseases are identified early and treated quickly, so patients can get back to what matters most. To date, there have been more than 17 million da Vinci procedures worldwide. For more information, please visit the Company's website at www.intuitive.com
[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

12/31/2024

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

☑ 3 years

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

☑ 3 years

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

☑ 3 years

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?

8352100000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US46120E6023

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

46120E602

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

ISRG

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

2838856

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

54930052SRG011710797

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

☑ India
☑ Japan
☑ Brazil
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Netherlands
☑ Switzerland
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ United States of America
☑ Mexico
☑ Sweden
☑ Germany
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Singapore
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?
	Select from: ☑ Yes, for all facilities

[Fixed row]

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Sunnyvale, CA 94086, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.372891

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.003895

(1.8.1.4) Comment

This aggregated geolocation for Sunnyvale includes multiple buildings within the city. The coordinates provided represent one main facility (HQ). This aggregation was done because publicly available water stress data, such as that provided by the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, is typically provided at the hydrological sub-basin level. While we have multiple facilities in Sunnyvale, they fall within the same relevant water stress basin as defined by such tools.

Row 2

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Goleta, CA 93117, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.430501

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-119.888642

Row 3

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Phoenix, AZ 85043, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.426927

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-112.158111

Row 4

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Southaven, MS 38671, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.925644

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.006243

Row 5

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Durham, NC 27703, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.922737

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-78.845467

Row 6

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.205849

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.416534

Row 7

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Orange, CT 06477, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.248299

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-73.003625

Row 8

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Peachtree Corners, GA 30092, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.97223

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.223525

(1.8.1.4) Comment

This aggregated geolocation for Peachtree Corners includes multiple buildings within the city. The coordinates provided represent one main facility. This aggregation was done because publicly available water stress data, such as that provided by the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, is typically provided at the hydrological sub-basin level. While we have multiple facilities in Peachtree Corners, they fall within the same relevant water stress basin as defined by such tools.

Row 9

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Mexicali, B.C., Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.663226

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-115.515425

(1.8.1.4) Comment

This aggregated geolocation for Mexicali includes multiple buildings within the city. The coordinates provided represent one main facility. This aggregation was done because publicly available water stress data, such as that provided by the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, is typically provided at the hydrological sub-basin level. While we have multiple facilities in Mexicali, they fall within the same relevant water stress basin as defined by such tools.

Row 10

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Biebertal, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.613802

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.617397

(1.8.1.4) Comment

This aggregated geolocation includes multiple buildings in various cities (Wetzlar, Linden, Biebertal). The coordinates provided represent one main facility. This aggregation was done because publicly available water stress data, such as that provided by the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, is typically provided at the hydrological sub-basin level. While we have multiple facilities, they fall within the same relevant water stress basin as defined by such tools.

Row 11

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Emmendingen, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.11188

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.851815

(1.8.1.4) Comment

This aggregated geolocation includes multiple buildings in various cities (Denzinglen, Sexau, Freiburg, Emmendingen). The coordinates provided represent one main facility. This aggregation was done because publicly available water stress data, such as that provided by the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, is typically provided at the hydrological sub-basin level. While we have multiple facilities, they fall within the same relevant water stress basin as defined by such tools.

Row 12

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Berlin, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.111468

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.716652

Row 13

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Frankfurt am Main, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.026753

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.841746

Row 14

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Aubonne, Switzerland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.486423

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

6.400337

(1.8.1.4) Comment

This aggregated geolocation includes multiple buildings in Aubonne. The coordinates provided represent one main facility. This aggregation was done because publicly available water stress data, such as that provided by the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, is typically provided at the hydrological sub-basin level. While we have multiple facilities, they fall within the same relevant water stress basin as defined by such tools.

Row 15

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pessac, France

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.784731

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-0.631783

Row 16

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Wokingham, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.436104

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-0.887934

Row 17

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tel Aviv-Yafo, Israel

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.074077

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

34.792203

(1.8.1.4) Comment

This aggregated geolocation includes buildings in Haifa and Tel Aviv. The coordinates provided represent one main facility. This aggregation was done because publicly available water stress data, such as that provided by the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, is typically provided at the hydrological sub-basin level. While we have multiple facilities, they fall within the same relevant water stress basin as defined by such tools.

Row 18

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Parvomay, Bulgaria

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.019673

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

25.236341

Row 19

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tokyo, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.667113

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.740135

(1.8.1.4) Comment

This aggregated geolocation includes buildings in Tokyo. The coordinates provided represent one main facility. This aggregation was done because publicly available water stress data, such as that provided by the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, is typically provided at the hydrological sub-basin level. While we have multiple facilities, they fall within the same relevant water stress basin as defined by such tools.

Row 20

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fukuoka, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.589303

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

130.417247

Row 21

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Seoul, South Korea

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.584778

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

126.885934

Row 22

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Kaohsiung City, Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.687294

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.314946

Row 23

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Taichung City, Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.159105

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.639596

Row 24

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Taipei City, Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.034494

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.567148

Row 25

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Singapore

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.297462

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.858126

Row 26

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

12.953

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

77.640556

(1.8.1.4) Comment

This aggregated geolocation includes buildings in Bengaluru. The coordinates provided represent one main facility. This aggregation was done because publicly available water stress data, such as that provided by the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, is typically provided at the hydrological sub-basin level. While we have multiple facilities, they fall within the same relevant water stress basin as defined by such tools.

Row 27

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Sao Paulo, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-23.495248

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-46.849831
[Add row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

☑ Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

☑ Tier 3 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Upstream Mapping Process: • We map the value chain and prioritize actions based on the risk characteristics of specific parts, product factors, and supplier spending. • Supplier Rating: Suppliers are rated based on multiple performance metrics, including delivery performance, quality performance, cost performance, and capability assessment. These ratings are compiled into a comprehensive supplier scorecard. • Direct and Indirect Spend Categories: Our mapping process covers both direct spending (materials and services directly related to production) and indirect spending (goods and services that support business operations). • Mapping Processes, Tools, and Analytics: Intuitive maintains mapping information and governance through digital solutions and analytics, including ongoing reviews by the supply base. Upstream Coverage: • Frequency: The mapping process is conducted on a quarterly basis. • Categorization by Supplier, Geography, Country, spend, and Material/Commodity: Suppliers are categorized based on their geographic/country location and the specific materials or commodities they supply. Downstream Mapping Process: • Customer Identification: We map who the customer is for our products. • Geographic Supply: We track where the product is being supplied to, focusing on the geographic regions and locations served. • Logistics/Warehousing: We map the logistics and warehousing processes involved in delivering products to customers, ensuring reliable delivery. • End-of-Life Management: For some products, we also map the end-of-life stage, including recycling, disposal, and any take-back programs, ensuring responsible management of products post-consumer use. Downstream Coverage: • Frequency: The mapping process is conducted on both a quarterly and annual basis. • Customer Segmentation: Detailed identification and analysis of customer segments to understand demand patterns and customer needs. Geographic Analysis: Geographic mapping of supply destinations to optimize logistics and distribution strategies.
[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

	Plastics mapping	Value chain stages covered in mapping
	Select from: ☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping plastics in our value chain	*Select all that apply* ☑ Upstream value chain ☑ Downstream value chain

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

3

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Ongoing annual planning activities for our global operations. Progress is evaluated at least quarterly.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

3

(2.1.3) To (years)

8

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Medium-term annual planning activities for our global operations and strategy evaluation

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

8

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:
☑ No

(2.1.3) To (years)

26

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Annual planning activities to evaluate market risks and opportunities, operational footprint and strategy. We set a long-term net-zero target by 2050 for a specific business location.
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both risks and opportunities	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Full

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

☑ National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

☑ Other commercially/publicly available tools, please specify :TCFD

International methodologies and standards

☑ ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard

Databases

☑ Nation-specific databases, tools, or standards

Other

☑ Desk-based research

☑ Materiality assessment

☑ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

☑ Scenario analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons

☑ Drought

☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

☑ Heat waves

☑ Wildfires

Chronic physical

☑ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)

☑ Increased severity of extreme weather events
☑ Sea level rise
☑ Water stress

Policy
☑ Carbon pricing mechanisms

Market
☑ Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials

Reputation
☑ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback

Technology
☑ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

Liability
☑ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply
☑ Customers
☑ Employees
☑ Investors
☑ Regulators
☑ Suppliers

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Initutive's Environmental Management System (EMS) manages environmental and climate dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities. We identify dependencies like water quality and impacts such as pollution and ecosystem disruption by examining our operations, stakeholder interactions, and external factors like regulations and global trends. This process covers all areas of our work, from design and manufacturing to resource management and compliance. We assess risks and opportunities by checking the severity and likelihood of impacts and the benefits of reducing them. This involves identifying control measures, ranking risks, and documenting them in the Risk Register. We allocate resources to address the most important environmental and climate opportunities and work with stakeholders to prioritize them. For example, in the design phase, we consider risks from harmful materials and opportunities for sustainable design. In operations, we look at inefficient processes and opportunities to improve efficiency and reduce waste. Managing risks and opportunities involves implementing strategies to reduce risks and take advantage of opportunities. This includes evaluating treatment options, assigning responsibility, and developing control measures. We focus on innovation and continuous improvement to adapt to new opportunities and emerging risks, such as using sustainable technologies and better product lifecycle management. In resource management, we address risks from insufficient resources and seek opportunities for improvement through better time and fund allocation. We ensure our environmental and climate management practices are effective through continuous monitoring and regular reviews. Performance indicators help us track success, and regular reviews keep our risk register and control measures up to date. Working with Legal, Regulatory Affairs & Quality Assurance, and other functions that support Intuitive's global cross-functional climate change risk management process, we provide reports to top management and stakeholders to maintain transparency and accountability.
[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:
☑ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

We assess the links between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities through our Environmental Management System (EMS). We first identify key dependencies like water quality and impacts such as pollution. This involves analyzing our operations and external factors like regulations. By mapping these dependencies and impacts, we see how changes in one area affect others, ensuring we create integrated solutions. We then evaluate the severity and likelihood of risks and opportunities, document them in our Risk Register, and develop strategies to address them. We continuously monitor and review our performance, adjusting our strategies as needed. This integrated approach helps us manage environmental and climate efforts effectively.
[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

☑ Areas important for biodiversity

☑ Areas of rapid decline in ecosystem integrity

☑ Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

☑ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

☑ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to biodiversity

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

For water-related risks, specifically water stress, WRI Aqueduct tool was used to assess current and projected risk. For other physical risks, including wildfire and heatwaves, climate impact explorer tool was utilized. The analysis incorporates two key climate scenarios – the Low Carbon Scenario (IPCC Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 2.6, representing a global low-carbon transition) and the High Emissions Scenario (NGFS Current Policies, equivalent to RCP 8.5) – conducted for a 2030-2050 timeframe. Locations were prioritized based on material exposure to these prominent physical risks.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

☑ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

☑ Other, please specify :Severity of Occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Our environmental risk management process strategically identifies and manages risks by assessing both the likelihood and severity of environmental impacts that may affect our operations, supply chain, or broader ecosystem. We document identified risks in a Risk Register and assess them using a Risk Index framework, categorizing impacts into four levels: I (Intolerable), II (As Far As Possible – AFAP), III (Tolerable), and IV (Broadly Acceptable), based on their likelihood (Frequent, Occasional, Improbable, or Incredible) and potential consequences. In this reporting year, we enhanced our definition of substantive risks by conducting a climate scenario analysis aligned with NGFS and IPCC frameworks, integrating both physical and transition risks: Physical risks assessed included: coastal flooding, sea level rise, extreme heat, hurricanes, riverine flooding, wildfires, winter storms, water stress, and populations affected by these hazards. Transition risks included: carbon pricing, building energy efficiency standards, shifts in energy pricing, and commodity demand/supply changes. Evaluations were performed across three time horizons: 2030, 2040, and 2050. We used the WRI Aqueduct tool and Climate Impact Explorer, which incorporates NGFS-aligned data. Scenarios used: RCP 2.6 and RCP 8.5 for physical risks. NGFS Delayed Transition (aligned with RCP 4.5) and NGFS Current Policies (aligned with RCP 8.5) for transition risks.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

☑ Other, please specify :Severity of Occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Our environmental risk management process strategically identifies and manages risks and opportunities by considering the relationship between our operations and the broader environment. We use various methods, such as team brainstorming, management interviews, and internal communications, to identify significant risks, review product life cycles, assess environmental impacts, and evaluate potential emergency situations. Identified risks are documented in a Risk Register, where the risk assessment process involves assigning a Risk Index level based on the severity and likelihood of an outcome. The Risk Index regions are categorized into four levels: I (Intolerable), II (As far as Possible - AFAP), III (Tolerable), and IV (Broadly Acceptable). Outcomes are assessed based on their likelihood (Frequent, Occasional, Improbable, or Incredible) and the degree of potential consequences. This structured approach ensures we address substantive effects that can impact our organization, allowing us to implement appropriate mitigation strategies and capitalize on opportunities effectively.

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

As part of our compliance efforts with the EU's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), we completed a double materiality assessment. In assessing financial risks, we defined a "significant" financial risk as having a magnitude of 10-20% of revenue.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

As part of our compliance efforts with the EU's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), we completed a double materiality assessment. In assessing financial opportunities, we defined a "significant" financial opportunity as having a magnitude of 10-20% of revenue.
[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

	Identification and classification of potential water pollutants	How potential water pollutants are identified and classified
	Select from: ☑ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants	*Intuitive monitors chemicals used in its activities through a review of Safety Data Sheets (SDSs).*

[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☑ Inorganic pollutants

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Intuitive does not release water pollutants associated with its manufacturing activities to storm water. All water discharge is permitted through local sanitary discharge.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☑ Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements

☑ Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response

☑ Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

Intuitive does not release water pollutants associated with its manufacturing activities to storm water. All water discharged from Intuitive's activities goes into the local sanitary sewer system. This system treats the water before releasing it into the environment, ensuring that pollutants are removed or reduced to safe levels as per local regulations. Our Environment, Health and Safety (EHS) team continuously improves its EHS practices in accordance with applicable laws, including evaluating EHS risks and taking appropriate mitigation measures, such as accident prevention, preparedness, and response. Success is measured and evaluated by our Executive Leadership Team, which has ultimate accountability for protecting the health and safety of Intuitive's employees and the protection of the environment as well as the communities in which Intuitive Surgical operates. Area and functional team leaders are expected to perform site tours, management reviews, engagement of employees, and other means of tracking and improving performance.

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

While we recognize the importance of water-related risks, our assessments indicate that these risks are manageable and do not pose a significant threat to our operations. We continue to monitor water usage and quality to ensure any potential risks remain under control.

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

Although we acknowledge the environmental concerns associated with plastics, our current focus is on other environmental issues that are more critical to our operations.
[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Water stress

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Mexico

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Water stress in manufacturing regions can limit access to water in production and increase associated operating costs. It may include increased utility costs, water use restrictions, reduced cooling efficiency in high-temperature environments, and pressure on store infrastructure and landscaping.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

OpEx Increase: Higher costs for water sourcing or treatment. Revenue Reduction: If production is constrained due to water limitations (due to closures or delays).

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost is reported as zero because the response is integrated into ongoing company operations and policies. As part of our existing Environmental Health and Safety Policy, we aim to operate responsibly and minimize our environmental footprint, including water.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Implemented water-saving and recycling initiatives as part of routine operational upgrades, reducing water use without requiring new capital expenses.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Water stress

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Mexico

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Water stress in manufacturing regions can limit access to water in production and increase associated operating costs, which might be passed on to Intuitive.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Disruption in upstream value chain

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Revenue Reduction: If production is constrained due to water limitations or supplier shortfalls. Supply Chain Risk: Increased material costs or procurement delays from water-stressed suppliers.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☑ Engage with suppliers

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost is reported as zero because supplier engagement on environmental risks is part of our existing supplier risk screening program.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We engage with suppliers on environmental-related issues as part of our existing supplier risk screening and responsible sourcing program.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Mexico

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Extreme heat poses a significant and growing threat to operational continuity and worker safety, particularly in warm-weather regions.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

OpEx Increase: Higher energy bills (cooling), decreased labor productivity. PPE: Need for equipment upgrades to withstand heat.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☑ Implementation of environmental best practices in direct operations

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost is reported as zero because the response is integrated into ongoing company operations and policies. As part of our existing Environmental Health and Safety Policy, we aim to operate responsibly and minimize our environmental footprint, including energy.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We are addressing the risk of increasing temperatures through energy reduction efforts. Our Facilities team works on improving energy efficiency, using renewable energy, and managing overall energy use to reduce Scope 1 and 2 emissions.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Mexico

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Extreme heat can disrupt supplier operations, leading to delays, increased material costs, and supply shortages, which can impact our production timelines and operational costs.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Extreme heat at supplier sites can cause delays or higher material costs, which may affect our production schedules and increase our operational expenses.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☑ Engage with suppliers

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost is reported as zero because supplier engagement on environmental risks is part of our existing supplier risk screening program.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We engage with suppliers on environmental-related issues as part of our existing supplier risk screening and responsible sourcing program.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:
☑ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical
☑ Wildfires

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply
☑ Mexico
☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Wildfire poses a growing risk to operations, particularly in western regions where wildland-urban interfaces and dry conditions converge. Climate models project an increase in both the frequency and geographic spread of large -Scale wildfires in the coming decades. The business implications may include sudden shutdowns, infrastructure damage, hazardous air quality impacts on customer and worker health, and disruptions to the local power supply.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased insurance premiums

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

PPE: Damage or destruction of physical assets. Insurance Premiums: Sharp increases or loss of insurability in high-risk zones. Revenue Reduction: Supply chain disruptions or facility downtime

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☑ Greater due diligence

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost is reported as zero because the planned due diligence is part of our ISO 14001-certified Environmental Management System (EMS). Our EMS policy already includes actions to reduce environmental impacts, and these are implemented through existing internal processes and resources, without requiring additional spending.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We are implementing greater environmental due diligence across our operations as part of our ISO 14001-certified Environmental Management System (EMS). This includes identifying and addressing environmental risks and impacts through regular audits, reviews, and updates to operational practices.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☑ Wildfires

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Mexico

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Wildfires near supplier locations can cause delays in shipments, damage facilities, or stop production. This can lead to material shortages, higher costs, and delays in our own operations.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Disruption in upstream value chain

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Supply Chain: Disruption due to transportation closures, supplier impacts, resulting in revenue reduction due to increased expenses.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☑ Engage with suppliers

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost is reported as zero because supplier engagement on environmental risks is part of our existing supplier risk screening program.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We engage with suppliers on environmental-related issues as part of our existing supplier risk screening and responsible sourcing program.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk4

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Carbon pricing mechanisms

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Germany

☑ Mexico

☑ Switzerland

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Future carbon pricing may increase direct operational costs, especially in energy-intensive regions.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Higher energy bills, carbon emissions, or compliance costs may impact margins and cash flows.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☑ Implementation of environmental best practices in direct operations

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost is reported as zero because the response is integrated into ongoing company operations and policies. As part of our existing Environmental Health and Safety Policy, we aim to operate responsibly and minimize our environmental footprint, including energy.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We are reducing Scope 1 and 2 emissions through energy efficiency and renewable energy projects. This helps us lower our carbon footprint and reduce potential costs from future carbon pricing.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk4

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Carbon pricing mechanisms

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Dominican Republic

☑ Mexico

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Suppliers may pass on carbon costs due to policy changes in their regions, raising procurement costs.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Possible increase in material or service costs due to supplier compliance burdens.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☑ Engage with suppliers

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost is reported as zero because supplier engagement on environmental risks is part of our existing supplier risk screening program.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We engage with suppliers on environmental-related issues as part of our existing supplier risk screening and responsible sourcing program.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk5

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Technology

☑ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Dominican Republic

☑ Mexico

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Carbon pricing or fuel regulations may slightly increase logistics costs for suppliers, with slight pass-through to us.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Changes may influence logistics costs, supplier pricing, and fleet operating expenses, particularly in jurisdictions facing regulatory acceleration toward cleaner fuels.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☑ Greater compliance with regulatory requirements

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost is reported as zero because supplier engagement on environmental risks is part of our existing supplier risk screening program.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

The cost is reported as zero because supplier engagement on environmental risks is part of our existing supplier risk screening program.
[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Intuitive invests in risk mitigation programs to create resiliency and proactively protect against Climate related risks. Where commercially available and affordable, Intuitive purchases business insurance to transfer some of its financial risk.

[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: ☑ No	*Intuitive was not subject to any fines, enforcement orders, or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations in the reporting year.*

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

☑ Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

(3.6.3) Please explain

We have not identified significant water-related opportunities due to the nature of our operations, which do not heavily impact water resources. As a result, we have prioritized other environmental areas where our impact and potential for improvement are greater.
[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Energy source

☑ Use of renewable energy sources

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Intuitive continues to evaluate opportunities to reduce its carbon footprint over the long term, taking into consideration its mission, strategy, and priorities. Our focus is to improve Intuitive's carbon footprint while improving operational efficiency and reducing costs.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Helps reduce long-term electricity costs and protects against energy price increases. May require upfront investment, but leads to cost stability over time.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A. This opportunity is pursued as part of our standard energy procurement processes and integrated into ongoing operations.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

We assess renewable energy opportunities based on site suitability, local program availability, and cost-benefit analysis. This includes onsite generation as well as participation in utility programs or direct access arrangements that enable the procurement of cleaner electricity from third-party providers.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp2

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

☑ Other resource efficiency opportunity, please specify :Energy Efficiency

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Intuitive continues to evaluate opportunities to reduce its carbon footprint over the long term, taking into consideration its mission, strategy, and priorities. Our focus is to improve Intuitive's carbon footprint while improving operational efficiency and reducing costs.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Leads to quick cost savings by lowering energy use. Many upgrades have short payback periods and improve building performance.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A. This opportunity is captured as part of our normal facilities operations and ongoing maintenance planning.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

The facilities team tracks and implements energy-saving projects as part of routine maintenance and planning. Sites are reviewed regularly through internal assessments. Projects are prioritized based on emissions savings and operational ROI.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp3

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Energy source

☑ Other energy source opportunity, please specify :Building Management System

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Mexico

☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Intuitive continues to evaluate opportunities to reduce its carbon footprint over the long term, taking into consideration its mission, strategy, and priorities. Our focus is to improve Intuitive's carbon footprint while improving operational efficiency and reducing costs.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Improves control over energy use, reducing utility bills and maintenance costs. Moderate investment with medium-term financial benefits.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:
☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A. This opportunity is currently under evaluation and, if implemented, will be integrated into broader energy efficiency planning.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Intuitive is assessing opportunities to implement Building Management Systems. These systems are expected to support energy reduction by improving visibility into building operations and enabling more efficient control of energy-intensive systems.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:
☑ Opp4

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

☑ Increased efficiency of production and/or distribution processes

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Intuitive continues to evaluate opportunities to reduce its carbon footprint over the long term, taking into consideration its mission, strategy, and priorities. Our focus is to improve Intuitive's carbon footprint while improving operational efficiency and reducing costs. This includes shifting to lighter or lower-impact packaging materials, optimizing product packaging to reduce waste, and working with our logistics partners to transition from air to ocean shipping where feasible. While some of these improvements involve third-party transportation providers, the decisions and strategies are led internally and integrated into our direct operations. These efforts help lower our carbon footprint and operating costs.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The opportunity is expected to reduce operational costs through resource efficiency, improving financial performance. By lowering energy and material use, we anticipate better cash flow and reduced exposure to volatile utility prices.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

This opportunity is captured as part of our normal global business operations, as we continue to work to assess the needs of our business and our customers and how we can deliver our product with the most efficiency.
[Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ CAPEX

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

100585

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ 11-20%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Out of the total facilities management engineering CapEx in 2024, 14% was allocated to energy efficiency measures. These investments help reduce emissions, decreasing environmental impact, and also lower operational costs, presenting a significant opportunity for the organization.
[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☑ Executive directors or equivalent

☑ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☑ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

Intuitive adheres to its Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are published on our website. These guidelines ensure that directors are selected with consideration of diverse backgrounds and perspectives, including business experience, professional expertise, age, gender, and ethnic background. This commitment to diversity helps us make better decisions and drive innovation.

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

Q 4.1 - intuitive-corporate-governance-guidelines-1064646.pdf
[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes

Water

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes

Biodiversity

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Biodiversity is not currently considered a material issue at the board level; however, related impacts are actively managed by dedicated teams (EMS & EHS) through our environmental programs and procedures. Our Environmental Management Systems (EMS) team monitors and manages environmental impacts, including those related to biodiversity, at the operational level. Oversight of such issues is addressed through our internal governance mechanisms, and escalated to senior management only when deemed significant.
[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ President
☑ General Counsel
☑ Other C-Suite Officer
☑ Board-level committee
☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
☑ Chief Compliance Officer (CCO)

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Governance and Nominating Committee Charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in every board meeting (standing agenda item)

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Overseeing and guiding public policy engagement

☑ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives

☑ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Other, please specify :Review and assess performance on environmental and sustainability matters.

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Additionally, the board established a Governance and Nominating Committee, comprised of independent directors, that is responsible for overseeing and making recommendations to the board regarding the organization's sustainability strategy and other public and corporate social responsibility issues that reflect the organization's values and character and impact the organization's reputation among all of its stakeholders, including, but not limited to, environmental, social, and governance matters relevant to the organization's business. This responsibility is documented in the GovNom Committee's Charter. The relevant team presents to the GovNom Committee up to twice per year, and the Chair of said committee reports to the entire board. Finally, the Compliance Committee routinely considers and addresses environmental topics.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ President

☑ General Counsel

☑ Other C-Suite Officer

☑ Board-level committee

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

☑ Chief Compliance Officer (CCO)

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Governance and Nominating Committee Charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in every board meeting (standing agenda item)

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Other, please specify :Review and assess performance on environmental and sustainability matters.

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Additionally, the board established a Governance and Nominating Committee, comprised of independent directors, that is responsible for overseeing and making recommendations to the board regarding the organization's sustainability strategy and other public and corporate social responsibility issues that reflect the organization's values and character and impact the organization's reputation among all of its stakeholders, including, but not limited to, environmental, social, and governance matters relevant to the organization's business. This responsibility is documented in the GovNom Committee's Charter. The relevant team presents to the GovNom Committee up to twice per year, and the Chair of said committee reports to the entire board. Finally, the Compliance Committee routinely considers and addresses environmental topics.
[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

☑ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues

☑ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process

☑ Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

Water

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes

Biodiversity

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.3.2) Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Select from:
☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.3.3) Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Intuitive focuses on other critical areas that align more closely with our immediate business objectives and stakeholder needs. Environmental issues, including biodiversity, are still considered within our broader sustainability efforts, which are managed by our Environmental Management System (EMS) team and Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) team. These teams ensure compliance with relevant regulations and implement initiatives to mitigate environmental impact. [Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Compliance Officer (CCO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

☑ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues

☑ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our Chief Legal and Compliance Officer is responsible for overseeing and implementing our ESG program. They lead our cross-functional Compliance Committee, which oversees Intuitive's compliance with applicable laws, including those related to ESG. Our Chief Legal and Compliance Officer is responsible for the development, implementation, maintenance, and administration of our ESG programs, policies and initiatives.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

☑ Other, please specify

[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

0

(4.5.3) Please explain

Management of environmental issues is considered for inclusion in management's Corporate Incentive Program (CIP). Each year, the leadership team sets the organization's annual plan, which outlines what is required for the success of the business for both the short- and long-term. The CIP is a select subset of annual organizational goals that drive business success in the current year, which may include the organization's environmental goals. Successful achievement of the CIP goals, combined with operating profit achievement, funds the bonus plan for participating employees.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years
[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level
☑ Corporate executive team

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply
☑ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets
☑ Progress towards environmental targets

Engagement
☑ Increased engagement with suppliers on environmental issues
☑ Increased engagement with customers on environmental issues
☑ Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Annual compensation is impacted by performance against performance goals set by management.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The management of our organization's environmental issues is overseen by the EHS (Environmental Health and Safety) and EMS (Environmental Management System) teams. These teams are responsible for ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements and reducing environmental impacts. Their annual performance goals are directly tied to these responsibilities, incentivizing leadership to achieve our environmental commitments and climate-related goals.
[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

☑ Biodiversity

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Our environmental policy commits to sustainable business practices, considering all stakeholders. It ensures compliance with laws, reduces pollution, and protects natural resources through a comprehensive environmental management system with continuous monitoring and improvement.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

☑ Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, in line with another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☑ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Environmental Policy.pdf
[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Responsible Business Alliance

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

We are a signatory of the Responsible Business Alliance (RBA), where we commit to upholding social, ethical, and environmental standards in our operations and supply chain. As RBA signatories, we actively work to align our practices with these standards to promote responsible business conduct across the industry. In addition, we voluntarily disclose our environmental data to CDP to maintain transparency in our sustainability efforts.
[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

☑ Mandatory government register

☑ Voluntary government register

(4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

US Lobbying Register - Senate ID# 86196-1006548 - House ID# 365480197 German Lobbying Register - R000834 Canada Provincial Lobbying Registrations - Alberta: OL-12850-04 -British Columbia: 9142-4858 - Quebec: 202323959 - Ontario: PP4836-20240108031388 EU Transparency Register - ID# 479042728784-52 Finnish Transparency Register Registration: INT-25-1648-R Scotland – 06727762

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

All climate-related policy positions and external engagements are reviewed with internal subject matter experts to ensure alignment with existing commitments. [Fixed row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

☑ Other trade association in North America, please specify :Atlanta Metro Area Chamber of Commerce

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

We are continuously assessing trade associations' positions on climate related policy positions, and support advocacy efforts that are aligned with our existing commitments. Our associations typically analyze and identify any unique or disproportionate impact to our industry related to climate policies. They generally advocate for amendments that address challenges associated with metrics or reporting obligations that are not a good fit for our sector, or that may conflict with or confuse existing regulatory requirements.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

10000

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Intuitive funds sent to trade associations are for general membership fees; we do not provide specific funding related to environment or sustainability issues.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, we have not evaluated

Row 2

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

☑ Other trade association in North America, please specify :Silicon Valley Leadership Group

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

This funding is for membership dues in a state/regional civic organization, which focuses in part on climate and sustainability issues. SVLG takes supporting positions on climate and sustainability legislation at the state, but also federal levels.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

75000

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

This funding is for membership dues in a state/regional civic organization, which focuses in part on climate and sustainability issues.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, we have not evaluated

Row 3

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

☑ Other trade association in Europe, please specify :MedTech Europe

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

We are continuously assessing trade associations' positions on climate-related policy positions and support advocacy efforts that are aligned with our existing commitments.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

151845

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Intuitive funds sent to trade associations are for general membership fees; we do not provide specific funding related to environment or sustainability issues.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, we have not evaluated

Row 4

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

☑ Other trade association in North America, please specify :BioCom

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

We are continuously assessing trade associations' positions on climate-related policy positions and support advocacy efforts that are aligned with our existing commitments.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

62000

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Intuitive funds sent to trade associations are for general membership fees; we do not provide specific funding related to environment or sustainability issues.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, we have not evaluated

Row 5

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

☑ Other global trade association, please specify :AdvaMed

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

We are continuously assessing trade associations' positions on climate-related policy positions and support advocacy efforts that are aligned with our existing commitments.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

694718

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Intuitive funds sent to trade associations are for general membership fees; we do not provide specific funding related to environment or sustainability issues.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, we have not evaluated

Row 6

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

☑ US Chamber of Commerce

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

This funding is for various business councils within the US Chamber of Commerce. We do not take any actions to influence their position on climate change, which is as follows: The US Chamber of Commerce believes in addressing climate change with policies that are practical, flexible, predictable, and durable. They believe in a policy approach that is supported by market-based solutions, developed through bipartisan legislation in Congress, and acknowledges the costs of action and inaction and the competitiveness of the U.S. economy. They work with policymakers to forge climate solutions and engage in the United Nations COP on behalf of the business community.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

130000

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

This funding is for various binational business councils and programs within the US Chamber of Commerce. We do not provide any funding related to climate change or sustainability issues.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, we have not evaluated

[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water
☑ Biodiversity

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:
☑ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply
☑ Strategy
☑ Governance
☑ Emissions figures
☑ Risks & Opportunities
☑ Value chain engagement
☑ Public policy engagement
☑ Content of environmental policies

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Entire ESG Report.

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

2024-Intuitive-ESG-Report.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

The attached ESG Report includes comprehensive details on our organization's environmental strategies, governance practices, public policy engagement, risks and opportunities, strategy, value chain engagement, and emissions figures.
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:
☑ First time carrying out analysis

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:
☑ First time carrying out analysis
[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☑ NGFS scenarios framework, please specify :Moderate Transition Scenario: • NGFS Delayed Transition Model (equivalent to RCP 4.5)

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Market

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 2.5ºC - 2.9ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

☑ Other regulators, legal and policy regimes driving forces, please specify

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Climate risk assessment considered location-specific data. A qualitative scale of 1 to 5 was applied to represent climate risk severity across physical and transition scenarios. Physical risk severity (floods, heat, drought, wildfire) varies across regions and models. Transition risks depend on market demand, technology adoption, and grid decarbonization pace. Scenarios constrained to 2030–2050 and may not capture extreme or unforeseen events.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

RCP 2.6 and NGFS Net Zero / Delayed Transition represent low-emissions pathways aligned with global decarbonization targets (e.g., Paris Agreement), allowing us to evaluate risks and opportunities under a climate-resilient future. RCP 8.5 and NGFS Current Policies reflect high-emissions or “business-as-usual” trajectories, helping us assess potential impacts in the absence of strong mitigation.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Water scenarios

☑ WRI Aqueduct

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

☑ Other regulators, legal and policy regimes driving forces, please specify

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Climate risk assessment considered location-specific data. A qualitative scale of 1 to 5 was applied to represent climate risk severity across physical and transition scenarios. Physical risk severity (floods, heat, drought, wildfire) varies across regions and models. Transition risks depend on market demand, technology adoption, and grid decarbonization pace. Scenarios constrained to 2030–2050 and may not capture extreme or unforeseen events.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

RCP 2.6 and NGFS Net Zero / Delayed Transition represent low-emissions pathways aligned with global decarbonization targets (e.g., Paris Agreement), allowing us to evaluate risks and opportunities under a climate-resilient future. RCP 8.5 and NGFS Current Policies reflect high-emissions or "business-as-usual" trajectories, helping us assess potential impacts in the absence of strong mitigation.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 2.6

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ SSP1

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 1.6ºC - 1.9ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

☑ Other regulators, legal and policy regimes driving forces, please specify

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Climate risk assessment considered location-specific data. A qualitative scale of 1 to 5 was applied to represent climate risk severity across physical and transition scenarios. Physical risk severity (floods, heat, drought, wildfire) varies across regions and models. Transition risks depend on market demand, technology adoption, and grid decarbonization pace. Scenarios constrained to 2030–2050 and may not capture extreme or unforeseen events.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

RCP 2.6 and NGFS Net Zero / Delayed Transition represent low-emissions pathways aligned with global decarbonization targets (e.g., Paris Agreement), allowing us to evaluate risks and opportunities under a climate-resilient future. RCP 8.5 and NGFS Current Policies reflect high-emissions or "business-as-usual" trajectories, helping us assess potential impacts in the absence of strong mitigation.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ SSP5

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Acute physical
☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:
☑ 3.5ºC - 3.9ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply
☑ 2030
☑ 2040
☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts
☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes
☑ Global regulation
☑ Other regulators, legal and policy regimes driving forces, please specify

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Climate risk assessment considered location-specific data. A qualitative scale of 1 to 5 was applied to represent climate risk severity across physical and transition scenarios. Physical risk severity (floods, heat, drought, wildfire) varies across regions and models. Transition risks depend on market demand, technology adoption, and grid decarbonization pace. Scenarios constrained to 2030–2050 and may not capture extreme or unforeseen events.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

RCP 2.6 and NGFS Net Zero / Delayed Transition represent low-emissions pathways aligned with global decarbonization targets (e.g., Paris Agreement), allowing us to evaluate risks and opportunities under a climate-resilient future. RCP 8.5 and NGFS Current Policies reflect high-emissions or "business-as-usual" trajectories, helping us assess potential impacts in the absence of strong mitigation.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☑ NGFS scenarios framework, please specify :High Emissions Scenario: • NGFS Current Policies (equivalent to RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Market

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 3.5ºC - 3.9ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Global regulation

☑ Other regulators, legal and policy regimes driving forces, please specify

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Climate risk assessment considered location-specific data. A qualitative scale of 1 to 5 was applied to represent climate risk severity across physical and transition scenarios. Physical risk severity (floods, heat, drought, wildfire) varies across regions and models. Transition risks depend on market demand, technology adoption, and grid decarbonization pace. Scenarios constrained to 2030–2050 and may not capture extreme or unforeseen events.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

RCP 2.6 and NGFS Net Zero / Delayed Transition represent low-emissions pathways aligned with global decarbonization targets (e.g., Paris Agreement), allowing us to evaluate risks and opportunities under a climate-resilient future. RCP 8.5 and NGFS Current Policies reflect high-emissions or “business-as-usual” trajectories, helping us assess potential impacts in the absence of strong mitigation.
[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization’s scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☑ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

☑ Resilience of business model and strategy

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

Climate scenario analysis assessed both physical and transition risks across company-owned and supplier locations, using NGFS, WRI Aqueduct, and IPCC-aligned pathways (RCP 2.6 and RCP 8.5). The analysis covered the timeframes of 2030, 2040, and 2050. Key findings include: High-risk physical hazards identified include: Extreme heat, with potential population exposure and operational disruption. Wildfire risk is particularly high in locations with high heat and vegetation density. Energy pricing impacts, driven by transition scenarios and market shifts. Transition risks from climate policies and regulations, especially carbon pricing mechanisms and energy pricing, are critical for cost planning and regulatory preparedness.

Water

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☑ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

☑ Resilience of business model and strategy

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

Climate scenario analysis assessed both physical and transition risks across company-owned and supplier locations, using WRI Aqueduct. The analysis covered the timeframes of 2030 and 2050. Key findings include: Water stress emerged as a high-priority risk for a few locations.
[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:
☑ No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

(5.2.15) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:
☑ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

(5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Intuitive has initiated several programs to reduce its emissions footprint across its value chain. These efforts include improving energy efficiency, obtaining LEED certification for new buildings, and reducing transportation emissions by shifting from air to ocean freight. We are also actively engaging with suppliers to promote sustainability practices. Intuitive will continue to evaluate opportunities to reduce our carbon footprint over the long-term, taking into consideration its mission, strategy, and priorities. We will start with areas that reduce Intuitive's carbon footprint while improving operational efficiency and reducing cost.
[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:
☑ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

☑ Products and services

☑ Upstream/downstream value chain

☑ Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

We continually look for new ways to reduce, reuse, and recycle waste to limit our environmental impact and help our customers meet their sustainability goals. In 2024, Intuitive redesigned packaging for Xi disposable obturators and endoscopes, significantly reducing waste. Additionally, Intuitive also streamlined procedures to enable electronic instructions for use (eIFU) across all medical device platforms and expanded the program to eight additional markets. These efforts saved shipping costs from printing and 117,619 lbs. of paper waste from going to landfills. For over a decade, Intuitive has prioritized refurbishing and repurposing returned components. Parts from retired da Vinci Si systems are recycled into newer systems, with approximately 95% of surgeon console components and 55% of patient-side-cart components are reused in da Vinci X systems. Reusable containers and corrugated totes ensure the safe transit of instruments while reducing packaging waste.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

General risk management for climate and regional issues leverages Finished Good (FG) inventory for short term buffering. We hold approximately 8 to 12 weeks of FG products in our networks depending on the criticality and life cycle of the parts. This buffering strategy is driven through our executive quarterly meeting. We are also driving multi-plant strategies along with dual sourcing and buffering on components to ensure FG production. In 2024, Intuitive expanded its ocean transport program, increasing ocean shipping utilization from 51% in 2023 to 64%, resulting in carbon savings of over 11,600 metric tons (MT) (57%) of CO2e by reducing reliance on air transport.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Climate change has shaped Intuitive's operational strategy through a variety of sustainability initiatives. Intuitive's energy management approach includes improving energy efficiency, adopting renewable energy, and integrating sustainable construction practices. We also implement water-efficient technologies such as ENERGY STAR and WaterSense-certified equipment to reduce water use. Our travel sustainability policy encourages event planning based on location proximity to reduce travel emissions, promotes green event practices (e.g., minimizing single-use plastics), and supports low-carbon transportation and green-certified accommodations. Additionally, we've enhanced our waste management practices by focusing on general, construction-related, and hazardous waste reduction and responsible disposal, aligned with our broader environmental management goals.
[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply
☑ Direct costs
☑ Indirect costs

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Our Business Continuity Plan takes into consideration the operational and financial-related risks for various scenarios, such as supply chain interruption and/or disruptions at manufacturing locations. The team conducts a business interruption assessment annually to validate the level of insurance coverage purchased. This

evaluation includes an analysis of the financial metadata for each manufacturing location, gross revenues minus variable costs to determine profit, and any reductions to be considered for the redundancies established.
[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	Select from: ☑ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

	Please explain
	We have increased water-related expenditure to accommodate changes in operations and ensure continued compliance with water discharge requirements.

[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

(5.10.3) Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.10.4) Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities

Intuitive engages in emission reduction projects that impact both our direct operations and our value chain. These projects help reduce our carbon risk and provide financial benefits by improving operational efficiency and reducing costs. Given that our current approach is driven by qualitative analysis to achieve these goals, we have not implemented an internal carbon pricing scheme. Our focus has been on practical and immediate actions that deliver tangible results in emission reductions and cost savings.
[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change
Customers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change
Investors and shareholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change
Other value chain stakeholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

We assessed the top 80% of our direct suppliers by spend within the purchased goods and services category (Direct Spend). From this group, 73 suppliers were selected to participate in a carbon survey focused on collecting emissions-related data. The survey included questions such as whether the supplier has GHG data, whether they calculate their emissions, and if so, to share the data.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

23
[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply
☑ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change
☑ Business risk mitigation
☑ Material sourcing
☑ Procurement spend
☑ Supplier performance improvement

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We prioritize engaging with suppliers on climate change issues based on several criteria, including business risk mitigation, material sourcing, procurement spend, and supplier performance improvement. Currently, we are in the process of implementing the Responsible Business Alliance (RBA) Supplier Assessment Questionnaire (SAQ), which focuses on various topics including environmental management systems (EMS), environmental health and safety (EHS), and supplier environmental risks. By incorporating the RBA SAQ into our engagement process, we aim to ensure a comprehensive assessment of our suppliers' environmental practices.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Currently, our suppliers do not need to meet specific environmental requirements as part of our purchasing process. However, we engage with our suppliers to achieve mutual benefits and generate positive outcomes for both current and future products. Our supplier network is crucial for ensuring we deliver safe, efficient, and reliable products to our customers. We engage with our suppliers through various methods to better understand their practices. This includes collecting information via general and specialized surveys based on supplier categories and using supplier scorecards to evaluate and review performance. Additionally, we conduct regular business reviews to monitor and improve supplier performance continuously. Moreover, when a supplier’s environmental performance does not meet our expectations, we may engage with them on targeted improvement actions aligned with our Environmental Management System (EMS) policies and objectives. These initiatives help drive continuous improvement, ensuring that our suppliers contribute to our overall goals of safety, efficiency, and reliability, even without formal environmental requirements.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization’s supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain transparency and human rights

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

☑ Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

We engage with our suppliers through various methods to better understand their practices. This includes collecting information via general and specialized surveys based on supplier categories and using supplier scorecards to evaluate and review performance. Additionally, we conduct regular business reviews to monitor and improve supplier performance continuously. Moreover, when a supplier's environmental performance does not meet our expectations, we may engage with them on targeted improvement actions aligned with our Environmental Management System (EMS) policies and objectives. These initiatives help drive continuous improvement, ensuring that our suppliers contribute to our overall goals of safety, efficiency, and reliability, even without formal environmental requirements.
[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We engage with investors and shareholders to build transparency, demonstrate accountability, and communicate our environmental strategy, risks, and performance. We engage by sharing our ESG strategy, environmental performance, certifications, and progress through our annual ESG/Sustainability report, which is publicly available on our corporate website.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Engagement supports informed investment decisions, enhances stakeholder trust, and reflects our commitment to corporate responsibility. Success is measured by positive investor feedback, increased ESG ratings from third-party rating agencies, and continued investor support for our environmental initiatives.
[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

(5.13.1) Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.13.2) Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :We have environmental initiatives in place driven by our proactive approach, independent of CDP Supply Chain member engagement.

(5.13.3) Explain why your organization has not implemented any environmental initiatives

We have several environmental initiatives aimed at reducing negative impacts, but these are not specifically due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement. Intuitive is proactive in reducing environmental impacts and has independently implemented various strategies and programs to achieve this goal.
[Fixed row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We have chosen the operational control approach for the calculation of our environmental performance data. This approach is ideal for our organization because it grants us full authority to introduce and implement operating policies at our operations. With operational control, we can effectively manage and monitor our environmental initiatives, ensuring that our policies are enforced consistently across all operations. This approach allows us to accurately track and report our environmental performance data.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We have chosen the operational control approach for the calculation of our environmental performance data. This approach is ideal for our organization because it grants us full authority to introduce and implement operating policies at our operations. With operational control, we can effectively manage and monitor our environmental initiatives, ensuring that our policies are enforced consistently across all operations. This approach allows us to accurately track and report our environmental performance data.

Plastics

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We have chosen the operational control approach for the calculation of our environmental performance data. This approach is ideal for our organization because it grants us full authority to introduce and implement operating policies at our operations. With operational control, we can effectively manage and monitor our environmental initiatives, ensuring that our policies are enforced consistently across all operations. This approach allows us to accurately track and report our environmental performance data.

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We have chosen the operational control approach for the calculation of our environmental performance data. This approach is ideal for our organization because it grants us full authority to introduce and implement operating policies at our operations. With operational control, we can effectively manage and monitor our environmental initiatives, ensuring that our policies are enforced consistently across all operations. This approach allows us to accurately track and report our environmental performance data.
[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	Select all that apply ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance

☑ US EPA Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID)

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)

☑ US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Indirect Emissions From Purchased Electricity

☑ US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Mobile Combustion Sources

☑ US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Stationary Combustion Sources

☑ US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Fugitive Emissions from Refrigeration, Air Conditioning, Fire Suppression, and Industrial Gases

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

Scope 2 market-based emissions are calculated using Green-e residual mix emission factors for U.S. eGRID subregions and Association of Issuing Bodies (AIB) residual mix factors for European countries. Location-based emissions are calculated using eGRID subregion emission factors for each region of operation in the U.S. and country-specific emission factors for other regions.

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7409

(7.5.3) Methodological details

1. Fugitive HVAC Emissions - Amount in equipment per product, Assumed leakage rate. 2. Fugitive Lab Gas Emissions - Estimate based on system specifications 3. Company Vehicles/Fleet Transportation - Mileage based 4. Natural Gas – Based on Fuel Consumption from utility

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18578.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Purchased Electricity – Based on utility consumption data

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18578.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Purchased Electricity – Based on utility consumption data

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

308028

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend based Method

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30218.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend based Method

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

29146.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Estimate based on downstream logistics

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

57.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Waste-type-specific method

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9194.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend based Method

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8711.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Distance method (Approximated vehicle mileage by city)

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

68553.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend based Method

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10776.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on on technical specification

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11707.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Weight based Method

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2697.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend based Method

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8105

(7.6.3) Methodological details

1. Fugitive HVAC Emissions - Amount in equipment per product, Assumed leakage rate. 2. Fugitive Lab Gas Emissions - Estimate based on system specifications 3. Company Vehicles/Fleet Transportation - Mileage-based 4. Natural Gas – Based on Fuel Consumption from the utility.

Past year 1

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7137

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2023

(7.6.3) Methodological details

1. Fugitive HVAC Emissions - Amount in equipment per product, Assumed leakage rate. 2. Fugitive Lab Gas Emissions - Estimate based on system specifications 3. Company Vehicles/Fleet Transportation - Mileage-based 4. Natural Gas – Based on Fuel Consumption from the utility.

Past year 2

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7045

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2022

(7.6.3) Methodological details

1. Fugitive HVAC Emissions - Amount in equipment per product, Assumed leakage rate. 2. Fugitive Lab Gas Emissions - Estimate based on system specifications 3. Company Vehicles/Fleet Transportation - Mileage-based 4. Natural Gas – Based on Fuel Consumption from the utility.

Past year 3

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7409

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2021

(7.6.3) Methodological details

1. Fugitive HVAC Emissions - Amount in equipment per product, Assumed leakage rate. 2. Fugitive Lab Gas Emissions - Estimate based on system specifications 3. Company Vehicles/Fleet Transportation - Mileage-based 4. Natural Gas – Based on Fuel Consumption from the utility.
[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

25382

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30002

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Purchased electricity – Based on utility consumption data. Location-based emissions are calculated using EPA eGrid emission factors for North America and country-specific factors for the rest of the world. Market-based emissions are calculated using residual mix factors. This is the first year we are reporting market-based emissions.

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

21565

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

21565

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2023

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Purchased electricity – Based on utility consumption data. Location-based emissions are calculated using EPA eGrid emission factors for North America and country-specific factors for the rest of the world.

Past year 2

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

21338

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

21338

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2022

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Purchased electricity – Based on utility consumption data. Location-based emissions are calculated using EPA eGrid emission factors for North America and country-specific factors for the rest of the world.

Past year 3

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18578

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18578

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2021

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Purchased electricity – Based on utility consumption data. Location-based emissions are calculated using EPA eGrid emission factors for North America and country-specific factors for the rest of the world.
[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

265430

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Hybrid method

☑ Average data method

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

19

(7.8.5) Please explain

1. Spend-based Method – Used EPA EEIO Emissions Factors. 2. Average data method for Metals and Plastics - Gathered weights, material composition, and manufacturing process from suppliers. Through LCA analysis, emissions for each product were determined and then extrapolated for the plastics and metals categories.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

141185

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

1. Spend-based Method – Used EPA EEIO Emissions Factors.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1344

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

The average data method used is based on fuel and electricity consumption data. DEFRA's WTT Fuel Emission Factors and WTT electricity emission factors are used for calculations.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

68319

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

1. Spend-based Method for warehousing – Used EPA EEIO Emissions Factors. 2. Distance-based method – For Transportation. This involved measuring the distances traveled for each transportation route from suppliers to our facilities, applying the appropriate EPA emission factors for each mode of transportation, and then estimating the total emissions.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

198

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Waste-type-specific method - Involved gathering waste manifests from vendors, categorizing and aggregating total waste, and applying EPA's emissions factors per pound.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

25969

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

1. Spend-based method - Involved gathering categorized spend data (excluding air travel) and applying EPA's per-dollar emissions factors. 2. Distance-based method - Flight trips are categorized by distance, total miles were calculated, and EPA's per-mile emissions factors were applied.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

18841

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Distance-based method - The approximated vehicle mileage by country method uses HR data to determine the number of employees at each site. Average commute data for each country is gathered, assuming all commutes are by passenger car. Work model percentages are taken from HR, and EPA vehicle mileage emissions factors are applied.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

While we do lease some spaces, the emissions associated with these leased assets are already captured in our Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions. Therefore, this category is not relevant to our Scope 3 emissions profile.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

5546

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Distance-based method - Uses logistics data of weights by transportation mode (air, ocean, ground). Miles are multiplied by weights to calculate total ton-miles, and EPA emissions factors are applied.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Our products are medical equipment and supplies that do not require further processing. They are ready to use by healthcare providers and end-users immediately upon purchase, making this category not applicable to our operations. However, the emissions associated with the sterilization of this equipment are captured under the "use of sold product" category.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

11256

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Methodology for direct use phase emissions, please specify :We calculate direct use-phase emissions from our products by estimating the total electricity consumed during their use over their expected lifetime.

☑ Methodology for indirect use phase emissions, please specify :We calculate indirect use-phase emissions by estimating the electricity consumption required for the sterilization of our products. This involves determining the total electricity used for sterilization processes over the product's lifetime.

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Direct use phase: Calculates emissions from the use of sold products by determining system power draw, procedure frequency, and duration. Total energy consumption is calculated, and global electricity emissions factors are applied. Indirect use phase: Electricity use for sterilization cycles is determined. Global electricity emissions factors are applied to calculate emissions.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

18805

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Weight-based method: Emissions are calculated by gathering shipment data and product and packaging weights. The product weight and sales quantities are used to estimate medical waste emissions, and packaging weight is used with EPA recyclables data to calculate total emissions.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

696

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Site-specific method – This method approximates emissions by using electricity consumption per square foot from the U.S. Energy Information Administration (CBECS). Electricity consumption is calculated by multiplying the CBECS factor by the facility's square footage. Emissions are then calculated using EPA eGRID and country-specific grid emission factors.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Intuitive does not operate under a franchise model. All our facilities and operations are directly owned and managed by our company, so there are no emissions associated with franchise operations.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1196

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Spend-based method: Gathered total investment data and applied EPA-published emissions factors per dollar for each category.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable
[Fixed row]

(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

Past year 1

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2023

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

344541

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

149054

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

649

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

41353

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

147

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

31486

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

17199

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

1459

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

9087

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

6652

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

1756

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

1191

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Not applicable

Past year 2

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2022

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

269907

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

78241

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

63865

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

38

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

20534

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

13464

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

104881

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

6767

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

13380

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

2730

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Not applicable

Past year 3

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2021

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

308028

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

30218

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

29146

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

57

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

9194

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

8711

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

68553

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

10776

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

11707

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

2697

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Not applicable
[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

2024 Intuitive Verification Letter Final.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

Entire Document

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:
☑ Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

2024 Intuitive Verification Letter Final.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Page 2

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☑ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

2024 Intuitive Verification Letter Final.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Page 2

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

- ☑ Scope 3: Investments
- ☑ Scope 3: Capital goods
- ☑ Scope 3: Business travel
- ☑ Scope 3: Employee commuting
- ☑ Scope 3: Use of sold products
- ☑ Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution
- ☑ Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)
- ☑ Scope 3: Downstream leased assets
- ☑ Scope 3: Purchased goods and services
- ☑ Scope 3: Waste generated in operations
- ☑ Scope 3: End-of-life treatment of sold products
- ☑ Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

2024 Intuitive Verification Letter Final.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

Page 2

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:
☑ Increased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

58

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.2

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Based on US average grid emission factors, an increase of 165,404 kWh in renewable electricity generation/consumption compared to 2023 reduced Scope 2 emissions by ~58 mtCO_2e.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Ongoing energy efficiency measures such as optimized HVAC setbacks, chiller staging optimization, and equipment retrofits were implemented in 2024. While these activities are expected to have reduced emissions compared to 2023, the impact has not been quantified; therefore, no change is recorded here.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No changes occurred in 2024 for this reason.

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No changes occurred in 2024 for this reason.

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No changes occurred in 2024 for this reason.

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3513

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

12

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Intuitive revenue increased due to higher product sales, supported by increased manufacturing activities and output. In the calendar year 2024, various energy efficiency measures were implemented. As a result, while the total cost of revenue increased by approximately 17%, emissions only rose by 12%, demonstrating the effectiveness of these energy efficiency measures in minimizing the emissions increase.

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No changes occurred in 2024 for this reason.

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No changes occurred in 2024 for this reason.

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No changes occurred in 2024 for this reason.

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No changes occurred in 2024 for this reason.

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No changes occurred in 2024 for this reason.
[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:
☑ Location-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

6218

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

2

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☑ N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

3

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 4

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☑ HFCs

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

1882

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)
[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.1

Bulgaria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

401

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.1

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

628

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1331

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2521.9

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

706.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

709

Israel

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

113.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

168.5

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

103

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

443.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

443.8

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7449.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9143.5

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

50

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4

Republic of Korea

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

35

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

165.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

165.9

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

47.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

47.1

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

54

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.3

Switzerland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

317

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

78.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

78.6

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.2

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6473

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15024

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16699
[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply
☑ By activity

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Fugitive Lab Gas Emissions*	*21*
Row 2	*HVAC Fugitive Emissions*	*1882*
Row 3	*Company vehicles/fleet transportation*	*2921*
Row 4	*Facilities - Natural Gas Consumption*	*3281*

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☑ By activity

(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Facilities - Electricity Consumption*	*25382*	*30002*

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8105

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

25382

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30002

(7.22.4) Please explain

All these numbers are at a global level. There were no joint ventures or subsidiaries in 2024.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

All these numbers are at a global level. There were no joint ventures or subsidiaries in 2024.
[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:
☑ Not relevant as we do not have any subsidiaries

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

☑ Customer base is too large and diverse to accurately track emissions to the customer level

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

At present, allocating emissions to specific customers is not a priority. Our efforts are directed towards improving emissions data accuracy and reducing emissions throughout the value chain.
[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

(7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.28.3) Primary reason for no plans to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers

Select from:

☑ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

(7.28.4) Explain why you do not plan to develop capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers

At present, allocating emissions to specific customers is not a priority. Our efforts are directed towards improving emissions data accuracy and reducing emissions throughout the value chain.
[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

☑ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

20133

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

20133.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

282

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

99075

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

99357.00

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

2156

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

2156.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

2438

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

119208

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

121646.00
[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Not applicable.

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Not applicable.

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Not applicable.

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Not applicable.

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Not applicable.

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

20133

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Natural gas consumption for heating purposes.

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Not applicable.

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

20133

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Natural gas consumption for heating purposes.
[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

2156

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

2156

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

2156

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

2156

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

20133

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

20133

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0
[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:
☑ United States of America

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Onsite Solar Generation

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:
☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:
☑ Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2156

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ US-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Onsite solar generation.

Row 2

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Switzerland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Default delivered electricity from the grid (e.g. standard product offering by an energy supplier) from a grid that is 95% or more low-carbon and where there is no mechanism for specifically allocating low-carbon electricity

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:
☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:
☑ Renewable energy mix, please specify :91.5% - Hydraulic energy, Remaining - other renewable energies

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

282

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:
☑ GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:
☑ Switzerland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

91.5% - Hydraulic energy, Remaining - other renewable energies
[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

15

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

15.00

Bulgaria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

26

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

26.00

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3503

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3503.00

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

756

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

756.00

Israel

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

200

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

200.00

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

970

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

970.00

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

37479

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

37479.00

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

11

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

11.00

Republic of Korea

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

340

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

340.00

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

94

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

94.00

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4.00

Switzerland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

282

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

282.00

Taiwan, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

124

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

124.00

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

47

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

47.00

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

55506

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

2156

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

57662.00
[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

4.563

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

38107

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☑ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

8352

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

13.2

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Increased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☑ Change in methodology

(7.45.9) Please explain

The 13% increase compared to last year is due to a change in methodology. In the previous year, the intensity figure was calculated using location-based Scope 2 emissions, while this year we used market-based Scope 2 emissions in the intensity calculation.
[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

	Description	Metric value	Metric numerator
Row 1	*Select from:* ☑ Energy usage	*119795*	*MWh*

[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply
☑ Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:
☑ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:
☑ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

06/30/2023

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:
☑ Country/area/region

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply
☑ Methane (CH4)
☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)
☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)
☑ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)
☑ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)
☑ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)
☑ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF3)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 1
☑ Scope 2
☑ Scope 3

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution

☑ Scope 3, Category 5 – Waste generated in operations

☑ Scope 3, Category 6 – Business travel

☑ Scope 3, Category 7 – Employee commuting

☑ Scope 3, Category 9 – Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2021

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

71.4

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

19.2

(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

630.7

(7.53.1.18) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1.23

(7.53.1.19) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

199

(7.53.1.20) Base year Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

101.3

(7.53.1.22) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1483

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2415.230

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

2505.830

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

0.96

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

0.1

(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

2.16

(7.53.1.39) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

2.16

(7.53.1.40) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

2.16

(7.53.1.41) Base year Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

1.16

(7.53.1.43) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

2.16

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

0.5

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

0.5

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2050

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

100

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

21.5

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

9.8

(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1575.1

(7.53.1.63) Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

4.5

(7.53.1.64) Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

611.5

(7.53.1.65) Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

118

(7.53.1.67) Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

126.8

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2435.900

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

2467.200

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

1.54

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Target is for Intuitive Surgical Ltd in UK.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Intuitive is committed to complying with the NHS Carbon Reduction Plan requirements in the UK, which mandate companies to commit to achieving net-zero emissions by 2050.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Intuitive has implemented a series of emission reduction strategies, including energy efficiency improvements, and optimizing supply chain transportation to reduce emissions. We remain committed to continuing our efforts to reduce emissions across the specified categories.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☑ No other climate-related targets

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	*1*	*`Numeric input*
To be implemented	*2*	*6259*
Implementation commenced	*1*	*517*
Implemented	*1*	*11669*
Not to be implemented	*0*	*`Numeric input*

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings
☑ Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS)

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Voluntary

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings
☑ Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

517

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ <1 year

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ <1 year

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Transportation

☑ Other, please specify :Global logistics efficiencies including mode conversion, shipment consolidations, etc

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

11669

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation & distribution

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ <1 year

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ <1 year

[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

(7.55.3.2) Comment

We ensure compliance with all relevant environmental regulations and standards, which drives our investment in emissions reduction activities. This compliance helps us avoid penalties and also aligns with our sustainability goal.

[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?

Select from:

☑ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☑ Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Some facilities are currently excluded from our disclosure, as we will soon start collecting water data in these locations. All facilities outside the US are currently excluded due to a lack of available data. We are working towards including these in our future disclosures as we establish more comprehensive data collection processes.

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☑ Data is not available

(9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not available

Select from:

☑ We are planning to collect the data within the next two years

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:
☑ 41-50%

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

We currently have data for 55% of our operational areas. We have initiated data collection efforts for some of the remaining areas.
[Add row]

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 51-75

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills and/or meter readings.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water consumption data is collected for US sites representing 55% of our total global sq ft (68% of US sq ft) through utility bills and/or metered data.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 51-75

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills and/or meter readings.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water source is municipal supply for all monitored sites; source volumes are derived from billing data.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

We do not monitor withdrawal water quality as we receive treated municipal water.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Discharge volumes are not currently measured; wastewater is managed by municipal systems.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not measured; destination is municipal wastewater treatment plants.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not applicable; treatment is managed by municipal systems.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not applicable; we do not have onsite wastewater treatment.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not applicable for our operations.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not applicable; no process water discharges.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 51-75

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water Meters

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water consumption data is available for US sites representing 55% of total global sq ft. We also assessed some sites for water stress using the WRI Aqueduct tool.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Our operations do not currently have processes in place for recycling or reusing water.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Onsite facilities management inspections and compliance with occupational health and safety requirements.

(9.2.4) Please explain

All company sites provide employees with safe drinking water, handwashing facilities, and sanitation in compliance with local health, safety, and building regulations. [Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

194

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Increased data coverage — water consumption reporting expanded to include more buildings.

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Anticipated further expansion of water data collection to more sites, increasing total reported volumes.

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

All water is sourced from municipal supply. Withdrawals are assumed equal to consumption, as there is no water reuse/recycling. The increase in reported volume is primarily due to inclusion of additional sites in data collection, not necessarily higher operational usage per building.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Wastewater is discharged to municipal wastewater treatment plants; no separate discharge volume data is collected.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

194

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Increased data coverage — water consumption reporting expanded to include more buildings.

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Anticipated further expansion of water data collection to more sites, increasing total reported volumes.

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Consumption is assumed equal to withdrawals, as all water comes from municipal sources and there is no reuse/recycling. Reported increase is driven by greater coverage of buildings in the water reporting program.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:
☑ No

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply
☑ WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

In the reporting year, we assessed our US sites using WRI Aqueduct. Sites located in areas of High and Extremely High water stress were included in this metric. Withdrawals are equivalent to consumption volumes from these sites, as all water is sourced from municipal supply and there is no reuse/recycling.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable.

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Not applicable.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

194

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Facility expansion

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Anticipated further expansion of water data collection to more sites, increasing total reported volumes.
[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

	Identification of facilities in the value chain stage
Direct operations	*Select from:* ☑ No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years
Upstream value chain	*Select from:* ☑ No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:
☑ No facilities were reported in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

8352100000

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

43052061.86

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

Our water withdrawal efficiency may fluctuate in the near term as we expand metering coverage to additional sites, which could increase reported water volumes. At the same time, we expect revenue to grow with business expansion, which should support a stable or gradually improving long-term efficiency trend.
[Fixed row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

Row 1

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:
☑ Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern for Authorisation above 0.1% by weight (EU Regulation)

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

Intuitive is committed to its mission of delivering minimally invasive healthcare that improves patients' lives and within this context conducting business in an environmentally sustainable manner. This commitment considers our customers, employees, suppliers, contractors, shareholders, and the communities in which we operate. Intuitive is committed to operate in a manner that mitigates our impacts on the environment, prevents pollution, and protects the environment and its natural resources. Intuitive ensures compliance with laws, regulations, and other obligations while managing the life cycle of our products, solutions, and services in an environmentally responsible manner.
[Add row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years

(9.14.3) Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact

Select from:

☑ Judged to be unimportant, explanation provided

(9.14.4) Please explain

Our products do not consume water during their use and therefore cannot be classified as low water impact products. However, some instruments undergo sterilization, which involves water usage as necessary to ensure proper sterilization, but this cannot be termed as low water impact.
[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?

(9.15.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:

☑ Important but not an immediate business priority

(9.15.3.2) Please explain

We use water primarily for basic operational activities, making it important but not an immediate business priority.
[Fixed row]

C10. Environmental performance - Plastics

(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

	Targets in place	Please explain
	Select from: ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	*Intuitive must comply with various regulations and directives, some of which include requirements around packaging metrics (related targets).*

[Fixed row]

(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

Production/commercialization of plastic polymers (including plastic converters)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:
☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A

Production/commercialization of durable plastic goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A

Usage of durable plastics goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

Our medical equipment incorporates durable plastic components along with metals and electronics. These materials are essential for maintaining the functionality, durability, and safety of our products.

Production/commercialization of plastic packaging

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A

Production/commercialization of goods/products packaged in plastics

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

Intuitive utilizes durable plastics like drapes used to cover and protect medical equipment. These plastics provide necessary sterility and protection during medical procedures.

Provision/commercialization of services that use plastic packaging (e.g., food services)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:
☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A

Provision of waste management and/or water management services

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:
☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A

Provision of financial products and/or services for plastics-related activities

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:
☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A

Other activities not specified

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

N/A
[Fixed row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

(11.2.1) Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments

Select from:

☑ Yes, we are taking actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments

(11.2.2) Type of action taken to progress biodiversity- related commitments

Select all that apply

☑ Land/water management

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	*Select from:* ☑ No	*Intuitive does not have activities located near to areas important for biodiversity.*
UNESCO World Heritage sites	*Select from:* ☑ No	*Intuitive does not have activities located near to areas important for biodiversity.*
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*Intuitive does not have activities located near to areas important for biodiversity.*
Ramsar sites	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*Intuitive does not have activities located near to areas important for biodiversity.*
Key Biodiversity Areas	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*Intuitive does not have activities located near to areas important for biodiversity.*
Other areas important for biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*Intuitive does not have activities located near to areas important for biodiversity.*

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party	Primary reason why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third	Explain why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☑ No, and we do not plan to obtain third-party verification/assurance of other environmental information in our CDP response within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Not an immediate strategic priority	*Third-party verification is currently focused on GHG emissions only, as this is most material for our stakeholders and regulatory compliance.*

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Vice President

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Vice President, Corporate Law and Governance

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:

☑ No